Interstate Advisory Council
Minnesota Department of Corrections
Pung 1 & 2
Monday August 22, 2011
10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

AGENDA

Introduction of members and guests

Approval of Minutes of the June 13, 2011 meeting

Update on the National Victims group – Suzanne Elwell

Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)

   ICJ Updates
   ICJ/ICPC MOU
   Georgia (only state that has not joined the new compact)

Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision (ICAOS)

   ICAOS Update
   Proposed rule amendments

Old Business

Questions & Open Forum

Schedule Next Meeting

Adjourn
State Council Meeting
Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision
Monday, August 22, 2011
10:00 am – 12:00 am

Those in attendance: Ms. Rose Ann Bisch, The Honorable Joe Mullery, Ms. Suzanne Elwell, Ms. Carolina Lamas, Ms. Mical Peterson, Ms. Midge Christianson, Mr. Pete Orput,

Those not in attendance: The Honorable Julianne Ortman, The Honorable Tim Kelly, Sheriff Bill Bergquist, Mr. Randy Hartnett, Mr. Jim Early, Mr. Richard Crawford, Ms. Jill Carlson, The Honorable Michelle Larkin, Mr. Stephen King, Ms. Freddie Davis-English, Ms. Julie Snyder, Mr. Mike Downey

Ms. Suzanne Elwell called the meeting to order.

Ms. Suzanne Elwell requested introduction around the table for new members.

The June 13, 2011 minutes were approved.

UPDATE ON THE NATIONAL CRIME VICTIMS GROUP
  o Ms. Elwell attended the National Victims Meeting and gave an update regarding victim notification requirements for Interstate Compact cases
  o Pat Tuthill ICAOS victims Ex Officio is working to present a pilot program that will include an automated victim notification feature in ICOTS
  o There was discussion regarding victim sensitive cases and when to mark a case victim sensitive in ICOTS. Ms. Elwell indicated that if there is a DANCO, order for protection, or a court order that prohibits contact the case should be marked victim sensitive
  o There was discussion regarding if the case should still be marked victim sensitive if there is a concern for a victim. Ms. Elwell said that should be a best practice as there does not appear to be any harm in marking the offender victim sensitive
  o Ms. Bisch outlined the process for an Interstate offender who is marked victim sensitive and wants to return to Minnesota

INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES (ICJ)
  • ICJ Updates
    o An ICJ/ICPC MOU has been established where Ms. Bisch is Co-Chairing the Committee
    o Handouts related to how the State of Georgia is conducting business while not being part of the Compact were distributed
    o Ms. Bisch distributed ICJ quick reference guides
INTERSTATE COMMISSION FOR ADULT OFFENDER SUPERVISION (ICAOS)

- ICAOS Updates
  - Proposed rule amendments
    - Proposal to Amend ICAOS Bylaws, Article VII, Committees and to create Ad hoc Committees
      - The Council supported this proposal as submitted
    - Rule 1.101 definition of Resident
      - The Council supported this proposal as submitted
    - Rule 1.101 definition of Violent Offender
      - The Council supported this proposal as submitted
    - Rule 3.101-3 Transfer of supervision of sex offenders
      - The council felt the way the rule is written leaves many unanswered questions
      - There was a lengthy discussion regarding what the intent of the language of the rule is
      - A member had concerns with the word “shall” as it may require them to assist an Interstate offender more than they would their own offender
      - After further discussion the council supports this proposal as long as the intent of the rule would be to assist an Interstate offender the same as you would your own offender
      - It was decided to relay this information to Ms. Carlson prior to the vote
    - Rule 3.105 Pre-release transfer request for transfer of paroling offender
      - The Council supports this proposal
    - Rule 3.107a2 Transfer Request
      - The Council supports this proposal
    - Rule 3.107a5_6 Transfer Request
      - The Council feels the conditions of supervision should be made available to the receiving State immediately in order to provide effective supervision
      - The Council does not support this proposal
    - Rule 3.107a9 Transfer Request
      - There was a lengthy discussion regarding this proposal and whether or not the language in the proposal was to broad and what criteria will be used to determine gang affiliation
      - The Council feels the language in this proposal is to broad
      - A member had concerns that the way the proposal is written it may unfairly target certain individuals
      - The question was asked if there would be a standard established
      - A Council member asked whether or not this rule could place added liability on the supervising agent
      - The Council does not support this proposal
    - Rule 3.107 Transfer Request
      - The Council supports this proposal
    - Rule 3.107a11 Transfer Request
      - A member suggested there should be some clarification of the meaning if supervision history
      - The Council supports this proposal
    - Rule 3.107c Transfer Request
• The Council supports this rule
  o Rule 4.111 Return to the sending state
    ▪ The Council supports this proposal
  o Rule 4.112 Closing of supervision by the receiving state
    ▪ The Council supports this rule

• Old Business
  o None reported

• Questions & Open Forum
  o It was discussed if the council felt there was a need to schedule a meeting prior to the National ICJ Meeting
  o The Council agreed to schedule a meeting to review the ICJ proposals prior to the vote

The next meeting is scheduled for October 3, 2011