INTERSTATE COMMISSION FOR ADULT OFFENDER SUPERVISION  
WEST REGION MEETING MINUTES  

August 4, 2009  
2:00 p.m. EDT  
WebEx

**Members in Attendance:**
1. Edward Gonzales  Chair, New Mexico  
2. Mark Cadotte  Oregon  
3. Lin Miller  Washington  
4. Brent Butcher  Utah  
5. Donna White  Alaska  

**Guests:**
1. Devon Whitefield  
2. Mario Fox  
3. Pat Odell  
4. David Helgerman  
5. Judy Mesick  
6. Max Otani  
7. Roberta Cohen  
8. Shawn Arruti  
9. Jim Ingle  
10. Kim Madris  
11. Kathy Luth  
12. Marjorie Owens  
13. Denise Sitler  
14. Sidney Nakamoto  
15. Carla Ochs

**Members not in Attendance**
1. Pamela Bunke  Montana  
2. Dori Ege  Arizona  
3. Robert Ambroselli  California  

Approved on 11/03/2009. B.S.
Call to Order
Commissioner E. Gonzales (NM) called the meeting to order at 2:02 pm EDT. Six out of thirteen members were present. Quorum was not established.

Discussion
Proposed Rule amendments: The Region discussed proposed rule amendments and commission comments posted on the website.

Rule 1.101- Definitions. Supervision
Alaska, California, Colorado Probation, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon and Utah support the proposed rule amendment.

Washington and Wyoming support this amendment with the addition of clarifying language.

Executive Director H. Hageman informed the Region of the costs associated with entering all states holidays and constantly changing furloughs in ICOTS. Alaska and Oregon – do not see the necessity for this rule amendment.

Colorado Probation and Parole, Idaho and Washington do not support the rule proposal. Utah and Wyoming are neutral on this proposal. California, Hawaii and Nevada support the amendment.

Rule 2.104- Forms.
Alaska, California, Colorado Probation and Parole, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming support this rule amendment.

Rules 2.106-Offender Subject to deferred sentences
Executive Director H. Hageman informed the Region about the background of this proposal.
California, Colorado Probation and Parole do not support the proposal. Alaska, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon and Utah support the proposal. Washington and Wyoming have a neutral position on this proposal.

Rule 2.110 Transfer of offenders under this compact
Alaska, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming support the proposal as written.

Rule 3.101 Mandatory transfer of supervision

Rule 3.101-1 Mandatory transfer of military, families of military, family members employed, and employment transfer.

Recessed
The meeting adjourned at 3:06 pm EDT due to the technical problems.

Wednesday, August 5, 2009

Members in Attendance:
1. Edward Gonzales Chair, New Mexico
2. Janice Yamada Hawaii

Guests:
1. Denise Sitler
2. Devon Whitefield
3. Judy Mesick
4. Kathy Luth
5. Roberta Cohen
6. Cathy Gordon
7. Jim Ingle
8. Louis Helker
9. Marjorie Owens
10. Max Otani
11. Mario Fox
12. Pat Odell
13. Carla Ochs

Members not in Attendance
1. Pamela Bunke Montana
2. Mark Cadotte Oregon
3. Lin Miller Washington

Approved on 11/03/2009. B.S.
Call to Order
Commissioner E. Gonzales (NM) called the meeting to order at 2:00 pm EDT on Wednesday, August 5, 2009. Two out of thirteen members were present. Quorum was not established.

Rule 3.101-3 (c) Reporting instructions for sex offenders living in the receiving state at the time of sentencing.
Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Oregon, Washington and Wyoming do not support this rule proposal. Montana would like to see the changes mentioned on the website forum. Utah has a neutral position on this proposal.

Rule 3.102- Submission of transfer request to a receiving state
D. Sitler (OR) informed the Region that Oregon followed this rule proposal as part of its state regulations.

Alaska supports the rule but has concerns about sex-offenders. Montana, Oregon, Utah and Washington support the proposal as written. Colorado and Wyoming do not support the proposal. Hawaii has a neutral position on the proposal.

Rule 3.104 Time allowed for investigation by receiving state
Alaska has a neutral position on this proposal. Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming requested clarification of the proposed language.

Rule 3.104-1 Acceptance of offender; issuance of reporting instructions.
M. Owens (WA) expressed her concerns regarding the word arrival and state’s liability. Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Idaho, Oregon, Washington and Wyoming supported the proposal. Utah holds a neutral position, while waiting on clarification from the Rules Committee.

Rule 3.107- Transfer request
Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming support the proposal.

*Rule 4.105 Arrival and Departure notifications, withdrawal of reporting instructions.*
J. Ingle (UT) expressed his concerns regarding the proposed amendment structure and timeline.

Alaska is neutral. Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Oregon and Washington support the amendment. Utah and Wyoming do not support the amendment.

*Rule 4.106- Progress reports*
Alaska, Colorado, Hawaii, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming supported the proposal.

D. Sitler (OR) informed the Region that the Bench Book (p.59) clarified the definition of supervision issue discussed earlier by the Region.

**Adjourn**
The meeting adjourned at 2:48 pm EDT