ICAOS West Region Meeting
April 10, 2007
2:00 p.m. (EST)

Call to Order

- D. Ege called the meeting to order at 2:04 p.m. EST.

Roll Call
1. Dori Ege (AZ)
2. Scott Taylor (OR)
3. Pam Bunke (MT)
4. Marilyn Kalvaledge (CA)
5. Kevin Kempf (ID)
6. Les Pozsgi (WY)
7. John Gonska (NV)
8. Doreen Geiger (WA)
9. Edward Gonzales (NM)
10. Donna White (AK)
11. Janice Yamada (HI)

Guests
1. Denise Sitler (OR)
2. Judy Mesick (ID)
3. Shane Sconce (WY)
4. Terri Kirchner (WY)
5. Lydia Haley (WA)
6. Ida Legget (WA)
7. Max Otoni (HI)
8. Jay Kawakami (HI)
9. Sid Nakamoto (HI)
10. Sally Skiver (CO)
11. Shawn Arruti (NV)
12. Chris Greb (NV)
13. Barbara Longmore (UT)
14. Nancy Sears (CA)  
15. Devon White (CA)  
16. Holly Sharer (CA)

**Staff**  
1. Don Blackburn  
2. Ashley Hassan  
3. Nicole Smith  
4. Kelli Price  
5. Kevin Terry

- There were eleven members present, and a quorum was established.  
- D. Ege stated that the Region would discuss the Rules Committee proposals for a later date so that everyone would have a chance to review them prior to discussion.  
- **S. Taylor made a motion to approve the agenda. E. Gonzales seconded. Agenda approved.**  
- **S. Taylor made a motion to approve the October 3, 2006 minutes. M. Kalvaledge seconded. Minutes approved.**  
- **S. Taylor made a motion to approve the December 5, 2006 minutes. J. Gonska seconded. Minutes approved.**

**Open Discussion**  
- D. Ege asked if there were questions regarding Advisory Opinion 16-2006. There was no response.  
- D. Blackburn gave an overview of Advisory Opinion 17-2006. He discussed the reason the opinion was written as well as the specifics of that opinion.  
- S. Taylor asked if the opinion requires states to keep offenders in custody if the state feels like he or she can be released following a probable cause hearing.  
- D. Blackburn stated that he would refer that question to his legal advisory group.  
- There was discussion of the intent of the rules addressed by AO 17-2006.  
- There was discussion of changing the wording of the Rules addressed by AO 17-2006.  
- D. Ege stated that she had reached out to the other regions, and it seemed as though about three quarters of the country was having issues with unsupervised offenders.  
- D. Ege noted that the South Region was kicking around changes to the definition of “supervised”.  
- D. Blackburn noted that Idaho had requested an advisory opinion about this issue.  
- D. Ege stated the Region could propose a new definition and present it to the Rules Committee at its June meeting. She recommended getting together over email to discuss the definition.  
- There was discussion of the rule-making process.