ICAOS West Region Meeting
February 13, 2007
2:00 p.m. (EST)

Call to Order

- D. Ege called the meeting to order at 2:04 p.m. EST.

Roll Call

1. Dori Ege (AZ)
2. Janice Yamada (HI)
3. John Gonska (NV)
4. Marilyn Kalvaledge (CA)
5. Leo Lucey (UT)
6. Jeaneene Miller (CO)

Guests

1. James Cole (AZ)
2. Margaret Lint (ID)
3. Shawn Arruti (NV)
4. Miki McGarvey (HI)
5. Max Otani (HI)
6. Sid Nakamoto (HI)
7. Jay Kawakami (HI)
8. Denise Sitler (OR)
9. Chris Greb (NV)
10. Lydia Haley (WA)
11. Dora B. Schriro (AZ)
12. Cathy Gordon (MT)
13. Shane Sconce (WY)
14. Nancy Sears (CA)
15. Sally Skiver (CO)

Staff

1. Ashley Hassan
2. Nicole Smith
3. Kelli Price  
4. Kevin Terry  

- There were six members present, and no quorum was established.  
- D. Ege welcomed and introduced the new members of the region.  
- D. Ege stated that the West Region meeting in April will be scheduled to last two hours to allow region members to comment on Rules Committee recommendations.

Open Discussion  
- Unsupervised cases and how judges are sentencing:  
  - S. Sconce said that he planned to send a memo to judges asking them not to use the term “unsupervised” to circumvent the Compact.  
  - D. Sitler said that her state council had sent communication to address bench probation.  
  - D. Ege stated that the definition of “unsupervised” may need to be changed.  
  - J. Miller asked D. Ege to confer with the other region chairs to determine if their regions are also having an issue with unsupervised cases. M. Kalvaledge supported reaching out to other regions.  
  - A. Hassan stated that the National Office has received a request for an Advisory Opinion regarding this issue and that the opinion is in the drafting stage.

- Local Policy vs. Compact Rules  
  - D. Ege stated that Compact Rules supersede others.  
  - S. Sconce discussed the burden of re-investigation for offenders who move back to sending states.  
  - D. Ege stated that she hoped the Sex Offender Ad Hoc committee would examine Rule 4.111 and stated that she would pass the region’s concerns to R. Guy.

- D. Sitler stated that she was under the impression that compact offices were not to reject transfers based on a violation during the investigation phase. D. Ege stated that this was an active warrants issue and that sending states should reject transfers who commit new violations.  
- D. Blackburn stated that there were two advisory opinions addressing warrants and arrest during investigations. He stated that offenders must remain in substantial compliance during the entire investigation and report violations during the investigation to sending states. If the sending state does not choose to retake, the receiving state should continue with its investigation and transfer and any violation occurring during investigation should still count toward the three significant violations rule.  
- D. Ege stated that the sending state’s definition of “significant” should be used to determine violations during the investigation phase.
- D. Blackburn gave an update on the status of the national information database project.
- D. Ege stated that at the next meeting the region would approve the last three meetings’ minutes. She also stated that she would email the other region chairs regarding the definition and problems with “unsupervised supervision”.
- She reiterated that April’s region meeting would be scheduled to last two hours.
- Meeting adjourned at 2:47 p.m. EST.