The meeting was called to order at 11:00 a.m. Pat Tuthill chaired the meeting and welcomed all participants and staff. She asked everyone to introduce themselves and their position.

The proposed rules were reviewed; specifically there were discussions on the following rules:

1.101 Definitions “Sex offender”
3.101-3 Transfer of supervision of sex offenders
3.106 Request for expedited reporting instructions
4.111 Return to the sending state
5.112 Retaking of an offender accepted under Rule 3.101-2

- P.Tuthill explained that rules regarding sex offenders were controversial among the states and a high probability exists that the two rules will be referred back to the committee.
- Concerns were expressed by several members of the committee regarding victim confidentiality being jeopardized by requiring the victim’s name to be included in the application for transfer.
- Another serious concern was raised by Keith. Clark regarding confidentiality and the protection of juvenile victims if their name is included in the applications. Most states have statues that prohibit releasing the names of juvenile victims.
- There was general agreement that providing the sex, age, and relationship to the offender could help probation officers to more effectively supervise.
• In highlighting proposed changes, P. Tuthill explained that the change to rule 3.106 (d)(1) restores a receiving state’s authority to exercise control of the offender while the offender remains in the receiving state before departing to return to the sending state.
• Rule 4.111 has the support of the victim’s representatives as the proposed amendment does not allow the offender who has requested to return to the sending state to depart the receiving state until reporting instructions are issued.
  o P. Tuthill explained rule 4.111(c) requires victims are to be notified and that the sending state shall not provide reporting instructions until the provisions of Rule 3.108-1 Victim Notification and Right to Comment are followed.

Hire Priority Issues
There was general discussion of the victim notification Rules 3.108 and agreement among the representatives that the victim notification requirement is weakened by the provisions that the notification requirement is “in accordance with each state’s own law.” It was generally agreed that a true national victim notification should be implemented for ICAOS through the automated database to notify victims timely. This recommendation will be made to the Commission.

P. Tuthill reminded everyone to take a few minutes and complete the victim representative survey, if it was not completed. The results will be presented at the National Commission meeting.

Great Opportunities to Help Victims
Denise Giles reminded members of the upcoming national Victim’s Assistance Professionals conference scheduled for October 19 in Orlando, FL. Ten scholarships in the amount of $1,000 will be provided for survivors of homicide to attend the conference. Denise asked that the information be forwarded to victims groups throughout the country to make survivors aware of this opportunity. The information will be forwarded by the National Office to all of the victim representatives to pass along in their state.

P. Tuthill will not be able to attend the conference. P. Tuthill will teleconference with the ICAOS victims representatives who attend to discuss ICAOS issues.

P. Tuthill announced that the Peyton Foundation will award educational scholarships for $1,000 each next spring. The scholarships will be awarded to children who have lost a sibling or parent to homicide to attend a university, community college, or technical school. There will be a scholarship awarded in each ICAOS region. Scholarship applications are available at the Foundation website www.peytonluthill.org.

There was no further discussion and the meeting was adjourned at noon.