Kathie Winckler, chair of the committee, called the Rules Committee to order at 12:01 p.m. Following introductory remarks, Winckler called for a roll call of members. Six of 9 voting members were present, establishing a quorum.
Winckler called for a motion to amend the agenda, moving item IV to precede item III. A motion was brought by Gilliam, seconded by Quander and the amended agenda was unanimously adopted.

Winckler called for an update from staff. Mountjoy provided information on the new discussion board available to committee members to share thoughts, ideas and documents as they work toward new rules for the Commission. Masters reported on state status of compact with 43 members as of the meeting.

Winckler called for an update from the subcommittee workgroups:

1) Date collection and reporting; eligibility for transfer (Winckler, Cosby). Both members were present, however the subcommittee had nothing new to report.

2) Mediation, arbitration, and dispute resolution; offender violations and returns to sending state (Gilliam, Steinman). Not all members present, however the subcommittee had nothing new to report. The subcommittee will have materials submitted by May 16.

3) Offender registration and compliance; level of supervision to be provided by the receiving state (Quander, VandeWalle, Martinez). All members present. The committee spent the bulk of their time discussing the report of the subcommittee (see attached). Subcommittee requested additional information from staff on state registration of felons and state registration of visiting felons.

4) Transfer procedures and forms; collection of restitution and fees (Hayes, Groves - Wall, Dunphy). Members not present. Winckler called on Dunfy and Groves (both sitting in for respective members) for a progress report. Work was reported to be in progress on forms, which will be made available to committee members prior to the next meeting.

5) Notice to victims and opportunity to be heard (Geiger, Tuthill). Not all members present. Work was reported to be in progress and the group will report at the May 30 meeting.

Winckler reviewed the deadlines for subcommittee work and reports. Final deadline of May 16, 2003.

Winckler called for New Business. No new business was brought forward.
• Winckler reviewed the dates for the next meetings: May 23, and May 30, 2003. All meetings will begin at 12:00 p.m. (EDT).

• Hearing no more new business, Winckler called for a motion to adjourn. A motion was brought by Quander, seconded by Cosby and the committee adjourned by unanimous consent.
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I. Welcome & roll call
   • Establishment of a quorum
     ○ 9 voting and 2 non-voting members

II. Approval of minutes of April 25, 2003 teleconference meeting
   • Request for changes
     ○ Motion: To approve minutes of April 25, 2003 teleconference, [as amended].

III. Report of subcommittees and materials submitted
   • Subcommittee reports
     1. Data collection and reporting; eligibility for transfer (Winckler, Cosby)
     2. Mediation, arbitration, and dispute resolution; offender violations and returns to sending state (Gilliam, Steinman)
     3. Offender registration and compliance; level of supervision to be provided by the receiving state (Quander, VandeWalle, Martinez)
     4. Transfer procedures and forms; collection of restitution and fees (Hayes, Wall)
     5. Notice to victims and opportunity to be heard (Geiger, Tuthill)
   ○ Subcommittee work deadlines:
     ○ Final deadline: May 16, 2003

IV. Staff update

V. New business

VI. Next meeting(s)
   • Teleconference meetings will be held at 12:00 p.m. (EDT) on the following dates: May 23, and May 30, 2003.

VII. Adjourn
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Rule</th>
<th>Provision for the Current Rule</th>
<th>Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-110</td>
<td><strong>2.110 Special Conditions</strong></td>
<td>The receiving state shall make every effort to meet the special condition requirements. Where such special conditions cannot be enforced, they should be altered by the sending state. Specials conditions may be imposed by the receiving state and notification is required to the sending state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|              | ➢ Any receiving state, which chooses to enforce or is not able to enforce a special condition placed upon a parolee or probationer by the sending state shall notify the sending state.  
➢ The sending state may then choose to either decline to transfer the offender or to transfer the offender with knowledge that the special condition will not be enforced.  
➢ Any receiving state, which imposes an additional condition upon a parolee or probationer, shall notify the sending state. |        |
| 4.101        | **4.101 Reciprocal Duties Between States** | The receiving state is not expected to do more or less for out-of-state cases than it does for its own cases. |
|              | ➢ The same standard of supervision as applies in the receiving state in the supervision of its own parolees and probationers shall apply to out-of-state parolees and probationers sent there under the terms of this agreement. |        |
| 4.102        | **Intensity and Duration of Supervision** | The sending state determines the length of supervision with the receiving state determining the degree of supervision applied. The length of parole supervision varies among the states. Where law fixes such periods of parole, it is not possible for the sending state to discharge the supervision even though parole supervision in the receiving state does not extend such lengthy periods for its own parolees. The receiving state may place the offender in the lowest level of |
|              | The duration of the parole/probation period in a Compact case shall be determined by the sending state.  
The degree of supervision shall be determined by the receiving state, but shall be consistent with the degree of supervision applied be the receiving state to its cases not involving the Compact. |        |
supervision. States may at the time of the transfer agree on the intensity and the duration of a particular case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distinction between “degree” and “standard” of Supervision.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The duration of parole &amp; probation supervision shall be determined by the sending state. The degree of supervision shall be determined by the receiving state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sending state, when possible, should cooperate with the receiving state when requesting discharge from supervision. The receiving state, however, should continue to supervise the offender if the sending state cannot comply with the discharge request, because of state statute, or the sentencing judge refuses to approve an early discharge from supervision, policy of the releasing authority, or other good reason.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>