INTERSTATE COMMISSION FOR ADULT OFFENDER SUPERVISION

COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE
TELECONFERENCE MEETING

Monday August 1, 2005
2:00 p.m. (EST)

Committee Members in Attendance:

1. Warren Emmer (ND)
2. Dave Nelsen (ID)
3. Mike Ferriter (MT)
4. Wayne Theriault (ME)
5. Robert Sanders (KS)
6. Bill Rankin (WI)
7. Robert Oakes (AL)

Committee Members not in Attendance:

1. Judith Sachwald (MD)
2. Leo Lucey (UT)

Guests:

1. David Guntharp

Staff:

1. Don Blackburn
2. Rick Masters
3. Mindy Spring
4. Xavier Donnelly
Meeting called to order at 2:00 pm EST by W. Emmer. 7 members were present, establishing a quorum.

W. Emmer distributed the Compliance Committee report draft and requested suggestions via email from committee members.

D. Blackburn noted that numerous compliance issues have come forth this year; most were resolved before going to the Compliance Committee.

W. Emmer noted that the Committee should identify the following as 3 serious issues faced by Commission:
- States without Commissioner
- Inactive Commissioner, too removed not involved
- No state council.

W. Emmer noted the Executive Committee may be in a better position to address such issues.

The Committee reviewed the strategies developed at the last meeting:
- **Strategy 1: Self Assessment Guide:**
  - *Develop survey:* D. Nelson will forward a list of questions to the national office to prepare a survey. B. Rankin suggested making the surveys easier and faster to use. S. Jackson requested forwarding to counties.
- **Strategy 2: Top 5 Compliance Issues**
  - *Can be identified from survey*
- **Strategy 3: Effective compliance and dispute resolution**
  - *The Committee has not had issue as of yet.*
- **Strategy 4: Compliance education and enforceability**
  - D. Blackburn stated that regional training in Texas has been successful.
  - Reach out to states without state councils or inactive state councils and states without Commissioners or inactive Commissioners. D. Blackburn will forward a list of non-functioning Commissioners. M. Ferriter noted that there is difference between non-compliant and non-active states.

D. Blackburn noted that deputies are not bringing issues to commissioners and therefore some commissioners are reluctant to file a complaint with a state.

D. Guntharp suggested that the Compliance Committee get more involved with the smaller compliance issues.

R. Masters identify patterns of non-compliance with an audit. D. Guntharp suggested training people as auditors once the Commission knows what needs to be audited.

S. Jackson noted a corrective action plan would need to be developed for states not in compliance.
D. Blackburn stated that appointment letters were requested by the national office for liability insurance reasons.

Summary Issues addressed:
- Failure of states to report data
- No appointed commissioner
- Inactive Commissioner
- Failure to come in compliance
- No state council
- No active state council

D. Nelson will get questions out to D. Blackburn for the survey. W. Emmer will contact D. tomorrow with details for the Committee’s assessment plan.

Please submit input via email to W. Emmer for the Compliance Committee report.

Meeting adjourned at 2:50 p.m. EST.