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RETENTION OF CASE RECORDS

There was a consensus that the receiving state should keep the supervisee's file if he remains in the receiving state after discharge.

PAROLE AND PROBATION VIOLATION REPORTS AND REVOCATION HEARINGS

Mr. Denton of Ohio reported that some sending states had required Ohio to furnish affidavits with violation reports. He also said that some sending states subpoena receiving state probation officers to appear at the revocation hearing. He suggested that such requirements should not exist, especially for Compact cases, since administrative hearings are not trials.

One of the delegates pointed out that the United States Supreme Court decision in *Hemph v. Rhay* indicated that courts must give probationers a hearing with counsel. He pointed out that receiving states should not have to send agents to such hearings and he suggested that a position paper should be drafted to clarify the difference between intrastate cases and Compact cases.

Mr. Telander of Minnesota asked if the time had come when Compact officials must send depositions to the sending state.

There appeared to be a consensus that there is a need to educate judges regarding the Compact. Attention was called to the fact that this must be a continuing activity since there are many new judges each year. It was agreed that there should be closer association with the National Association of Trial Court Judges. Mr. Frederick reported that the Secretariat had, with the approval of the Executive Committee, agreed to furnish the National Association of Trial Court Judges with supplies of the Compact brochure from time to time. He also said that the Secretariat had prepared an article on the Compact for the Newsletter of the National Association of Trial Court Judges.

Mr. Wolman of Maryland expressed the view that officials should pay careful attention to due process. He said that several of the recent decisions would not have been handed down if officials had not ignored the basic elements of fair play.

Attention was called to the problem of civil suits against parole and probation officers.

A motion was adopted authorizing the Chairman to appoint a representative committee to study the problems raised by parole and probation revocation hearings, especially with regard to compact cases.

INVESTIGATIONS

Attention was called to the fact that some courts are still sending probationers out of state for supervision without requesting an investigation. Mr. Spangler of California said that the Compact is discussed at each annual California Judicial Conference.
RETENTION OF CASE RECORDS

There was a consensus that the receiving state should keep the supervisee's file if he remains in the receiving state after discharge.

PAROLE AND PROBATION VIOLATION REPORTS AND REVOCATION HEARINGS

Mr. Denton of Ohio reported that some sending states had required Ohio to furnish affidavits with violation reports. He also said that some sending states subpoena receiving state probation officers to appear at the revocation hearing. He suggested that such requirements should not exist, especially for Compact cases, since administrative hearings are not trials.

One of the delegates pointed out that the United States Supreme Court decision in Henri v. Rhay indicated that courts must give probationers a hearing with counsel. He pointed out that receiving states should not have to send agents to such hearing and he suggested that a position paper should be drafted to clarify the difference between intrastate cases and Compact cases.

Mr. Telander of Minnesota asked if the time had come when Compact officials must send depositions to the sending state.

There appeared to be a consensus that there is a need to educate judges regarding the Compact. Attention was called to the fact that this must be a continuing activity since there are many new judges each year. It was agreed that there should be closer association with the National Association of Trial Court Judges. Mr. Frederick reported that the Secretariat had, with the approval of the Executive Committee, agreed to furnish the National Association of Trial Court Judges with supplies of the Compact brochure from time to time. He also said that the Secretariat had prepared an article on the Compact for the Newsletter of the National Association of Trial Court Judges.

Mr. Wolman of Maryland expressed the view that officials should pay careful attention to due process. He said that several of the recent decisions would not have been handed down if officials had not ignored the basic elements of fair play.

Attention was called to the problem of civil suits against parole and probation officers.

A motion was adopted authorizing the Chairman to appoint a representative committee to study the problems raised by parole and probation revocation hearings, especially with regard to compact cases.

INVESTIGATIONS

Attention was called to the fact that some courts are still sending probationers out of state for supervision without requesting an investigation. Mr. Spangler of California said that the Compact is discussed at each annual California Judicial Conference.
One of the delegates pointed out that some counties were refusing to make investigations or accept cases because of their heavy workloads. Mr. Denton of Ohio said the Compact Administrator's office would handle such cases if there was any difficulty in Ohio. There was agreement that some Compact Administrators might need legislative authorization to do this. A motion was adopted unanimously requesting the Secretariat to draft suggested legislation giving the parole staff authority to investigate and supervise compact probation cases in states where there is no central probation agency. It was agreed that such legislation would be intended for use only in states where there is a problem.

PAROLE AND PROBATION REVOCATION HEARINGS

Mr. Frederick called attention to the draft of a parole and probation revocation hearing act which had been distributed to the delegates. He said that the draft act had been presented at the 1967 meeting and referred to the Executive Committee to be kept alive until such time as it might be needed.

The representative of the District of Columbia reported that the District's parolees must have a hearing at the site of the violation. For this reason states supervising District of Columbia violators are asked to hold violation hearings. A delegate from New York said his state would be reluctant to hold such hearings because this might establish a precedent which would jeopardize New York's out-of-state cases.

Mr. Bobzin of Iowa reported that a case is pending in his state to determine whether the hearing must be held before the parolee is returned to the institution.

The questions raised during this discussion were referred to the new Committee to Study Revocation Hearings.

CHAPTER ON PAROLE REVOCATION

Mr. Gernert of Pennsylvania distributed a Chapter on violation of parole which is to be included in a forthcoming publication of NCCD. He pointed out that the Chapter deals with broad principles since it is impossible to design a program which would apply to all states. He also mentioned that the chapter straddles the issue of right to counsel since this is still not a general requirement in the states. Mr. Gernert stressed the importance of holding violation hearings promptly. He told the group that the chapter would be sent to all trial court judges.

REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL ON CRIME AND DELINQUENCY

Mr. Vincent O'Leary gave this report for NCCD's Director, Mr. Milton Rector, who could not be present. A summary of his remarks follows.

There has been an astounding growth of citizen interest in crime and delinquency. Questions are being raised regarding civil disorders. It is a basic task of NCCD to provide leadership and effective channelling of this growing interest.
NCCD expects to rely more upon public education. It will be making greater use of mass media. It also has sponsored organized crime seminars and is working with national industrial groups. Its citizens councils are being expanded.

NCCD is trying increasingly to work through other organizations. A program service bureau has been established to provide programs and materials to interested organizations.

Much is happening in the fields of crime and delinquency. Sixty-three million dollars are now available for 90-10 matching under the "Safe Streets Act." In order to take advantage of the funds, states must have planning commissions on which the courts, police and corrections officials are represented. NCCD is working with committees and other organizations such as The Council of State Governments to aid states in their planning. A meeting on the "Safe Streets Act" was held in Washington on August 15-16.

NCCD is now staffing a Police Council. It is continuing with its Uniform Parole Reporting project. It has held several Probation Management Institutes and a Parole Institute was recently held. Such institutes should be held more frequently.

SAFE STREETS ACT CONFERENCE

Mr. Frederick reported on the August 15-16 "Safe Streets Act" Conference which was held in Washington. A summary of his remarks follows.

The citation to the "Safe Streets Act" is P.L. 90-351, June 19, 1968. The Act makes substantial funds available for crime control to the states. The Act makes it clear that states must set up planning agencies which include corrections. The funds become available after the state plan is approved by the Justice Department.

The Conference was called at the request of the National Governors' Conference and the National Association of Attorneys' General. It was sponsored by The Council of State Governments with the cooperation of the United States Department of Justice. There are plans to hold another meeting within a few months.

At the conclusion of Mr. Frederick's remarks, Mr. Gernert reported that a special copy of the "Safe Streets Act" with notations can be secured from one's Congressman.

RECEIVING STATES RULES AND REGULATIONS

Mr. Frederick read a letter from Mr. Roy H. Nelson of the Michigan Department of Corrections. The letter suggested that Compact supervisees should be required to sign an acknowledgement of receipt of the receiving state's rules and regulations when they arrived in the receiving state. Discussion revealed that most states already do this, Iowa prints its rules and regulations on the back of the monthly report forms which must be submitted by the supervisee.
REPORT OF THE AMERICAN CORRECTIONAL ASSOCIATION

Dr. E. Preston Sharp, General Secretary of the American Correctional Association, gave this report after extending the greetings of his Association. A summary of his remarks follows.

Reports of the seminars held between paroling authorities and correctional officials are available from ACA on a complimentary basis.

The United States Department of Justice is anxious for correctional officials to become involved in programs under the "Safe Streets Act". They should make every effort to do so.

As yet there is no funding for the Juvenile Delinquency Act. However, at the meeting in Washington on the "Safe Streets Act" there was agreement that joint planning should be encouraged under both Acts. HEW and the Department of Justice are receptive to this idea.

A "Study Guide for Application of the Manual for Correctional Standards" has been prepared by ACA. Forms also are available. ACA would like recommendations for revisions in the next manual.

The Executive Committee of ACA will meet with NCCD to evaluate needed steps for a strong organization in the field of corrections.

COMMITTEE FOR PLAQUE

Mr. Bobzin appointed the following Compact Administrators to serve on the committee for a plaque or memorial for Dr. Wendell: Mr. Spangler of California, Mr. Stephens of Alabama, Mr. Powers of Wisconsin.

DETAINEES AGREEMENT

Mr. Frederick explained that the Agreement on Detainers is a compact under which prisoners who have detainers pending against them may be brought to trial upon their own request or upon the request of the prosecutor who placed the detainer against them. If the prisoner is not brought to trial within a specified period of time the detainer is no longer in effect. Mr. Frederick said that the following states had ratified the Agreement: California, Connecticut, Hawaii, Iowa, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont and Washington. Mr. Frederick also said that a bill to make the Federal Government a party had passed the House in Congress. He urged all non-member states to join.

NEED FOR PROMPT ISSUANCE OF WARRANTS

Mr. Telander of Minnesota called attention to the need for prompt issuance of warrants by the sending state. One of the delegates pointed out that sending states sometimes prefer to delay sending the warrant when the supervisee is charged with a new crime. It is felt that the receiving state is apt not to prosecute if
the warrant is received too quickly. Mr. Bobzin of Iowa said his state tries to solve this problem by sending the warrant for holding purposes only and by urging prosecution.

Mr. Brown of New Mexico pointed out that if the charges are dismissed they should not be the basis for a return. He said that if the receiving state feels there should be a return it should investigate for the sending state and find other valid reasons for the return.

UNIFORM WARRANT

Mr. Frederick said that the 1967 Annual Meeting had asked the Secretariat to draft a Uniform Warrant for possible use by the Association. He said that the proposed Warrant had been sent to all Compact Administrators in advance of the meeting.

Delegates from Utah, the Virgin Islands and Tennessee said that their attorneys general had expressed the opinion that the warrant would be satisfactory for use by sending states. However, several other delegates said the warrant could not be used in their states without alteration. It was agreed that it would not be possible for the Association to have a Uniform Warrant Form.

Mr. Powers of Wisconsin pointed out that the Department Counsel was of the opinion that Wisconsin officials could not arrest out-of-state supervisees for other states. It was agreed that the Secretariat would distribute copies of the Kentucky and Missouri statutes on this subject.

UNIFORM PAROLE REPORTING PROJECT

Mr. Don M. Gottfredson, Director of the National Parole Institutes reported on this subject. A summary of his remarks follows.

In 1965 a feasibility study of the project was made with eight states reporting. In 1967 a National Institute of Mental Health grant was secured. This year fifty-four jurisdictions are reporting.

About 2000 reports are received. Feasibility studies indicate that the information is reliable for the purpose for which it was intended.

Steps are being taken to deal with data more efficiently. A computer has been installed. The computer will also give other types of information.

Two national meetings have been held. The agenda included discussions of the type of information desired.

The project is funded through December. Application has been made to the National Institute of Mental Health for an additional 3 year grant. The project is on the recommended list, but the grant will depend on the amount of money available to the National Institute on Mental Health.
Some probation management institutes have been held. The feasibility of a probation reporting project is being studied.

State planning agencies under the "Safe Streets Act" should include funds for uniform reporting projects in their state plans.

FINAL BUSINESS SESSION

APPROPRIATION TO JOINT COMMISSION ON MANPOWER AND TRAINING

A motion was adopted appropriating $150.00 to the Joint Commission on Manpower and Training and making Mr. Grout of Colorado the Association's representative on the Joint Commission.

APPROPRIATION TO THE NATIONAL PAROLE INSTITUTES

A motion was adopted appropriating $250.00 to the National Parole Institutes and making Mr. Bridges of Florida the Association's representative.

NEXT ANNUAL MEETING

The Association voted to express a preference to the Executive Committee to hold the 1969 Annual Meeting in conjunction with the Congress of Corrections. *

REPORT OF THE AUDITING COMMITTEE

Mr. Lawson of Florida reported for the Auditing Committee that the Treasurer's accounts were in order. The Association approved the Report unanimously.

REPORT OF THE RESOLUTIONS COMMITTEE

Mr. Wolman of Maryland presented the Report of the Resolutions Committee. This Report was adopted unanimously. The Resolutions are attached as Appendix D.

REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Mr. Gernert of Pennsylvania presented the Report of the Nominating Committee and the Secretariat was directed to cast one ballot for the following slate:

President: Paul C. Wolman, Maryland
Vice President: George F. Denton, Ohio
Treasurer: Charles H. Lawson, Florida
Secretariat: Council of State Governments

* At the conclusion of the meeting the Executive Committee met and set the dates of the 1969 Annual Meeting as August 16 at the Raddison Hotel in Minneapolis, Minne
REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE (continued)

Executive Committee

R. W. Bobzin, Chairman, Iowa
Joseph Spangler, California
Bettye Young, Georgia
Robert Johnson, New Hampshire
W. Parker Hurley, Kentucky
W. G. Sartorius, Missouri

Council

Edward W. Grout, Chairman, Colorado
Manuel N. Brown, New Mexico
David Williams, Alabama
Irvin Kiedman, North Dakota
James Nargraves, Virginia

Mr. Bobzin turned the gavel over to Mr. Wolman. As his first act in office
Mr. Wolman presented Mr. Bobzin with a certificate from the Association honoring
him for his leadership as President.

There was a moment of silent prayer for General Eisenhower.

The meeting adjourned.
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* Means Compact Administrator
NEW HAMPSHIRE

Robert A. Johnson, New Hampshire Parole Board

NEW MEXICO

*Dr. M. N. Brown, Director, Board of Probation and Parole

NEW YORK

Milton B. Lewis, Administrative Director, Division of Parole
Robert S. Wright, Commissioner, New York State Board of Parole

NORTH CAROLINA

J. Rufus Strother, Deputy Administrator for Probation

NORTH DAKOTA

*Irvin Riedman, Director, Parole Office

OHIO

*George F. Denton, Chief of the Adult Parole Authority

OREGON

*Jack Wiseman, Director, Board of Parole and Probation

PENNSYLVANIA

*Paul J. Gernert, Chairman, Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole

TENNESSEE

*Don W. McGehee, Director, Division of Probation and Paroles
Betty Lee Stiddum, Deputy Administrator

UTAH

*W. Keith Wilson, Chief Agent, Department of Adult Probation and Parole

VERMONT

John Moran, Executive Editor, American Journal of Correction, Department of Correction

VIRGIN ISLANDS

*Lionel A. Todman, Chief Probation Officer

WASHINGTON

Ellis F. Stout, Deputy Administrator
Stephen C. Way, Assistant Attorney General, State of Washington

* Means Compact Administrator
WISCONSIN
*Sanger B. Powers, Administrator, Division of Corrections

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
H. Albion Ferrell, Member, D. C. Parole Board

OTHERS
Sanford Bates, New Jersey
Joseph M. Callan, The Osborne Association
Walter Dunbar, U. S. Board of Parole
Don M. Gottfredson, National Council on Crime and Delinquency, California
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William L. Frederick, Director, Eastern Office
Jane Parks, Assistant to Mr. Frederick
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## APPENDIX B

TREASURER'S REPORT

PAROLE AND PROBATION COMPACT ADMINISTRATORS' ASSOCIATION

FINANCIAL STATEMENT

July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1968

SAVINGS ACCOUNT - THE IDAHO FIRST NATIONAL BANK
Boise, Idaho

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASH RECEIPTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance July 1, 1967</td>
<td>$453.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest June 30, 1967</td>
<td>19.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest December 29, 1967</td>
<td>9.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest June 28, 1968</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Savings</td>
<td>$491.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate #2357</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ACCOUNTABILITY</td>
<td>$2,491.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# FINANCIAL STATEMENT

**July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1968**

**CHECKING ACCOUNT - THE IDAHO FIRST NATIONAL BANK, BOISE, IDAHO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASH RECEIPTS</th>
<th>DISBURSEMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Membership Dues July 14, 1967</td>
<td>Expenses made by the Secretariat during the fiscal year ending 6-30-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Dues August 15, 1967</td>
<td>Milo Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit September 25, 1967</td>
<td>Americana Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Dues September 25, 1967</td>
<td>Executive Dinner - $107.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Dues October 17, 1967</td>
<td>Luncheon - $256.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit November 6, 1967</td>
<td>September 7, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Dues December 15, 1967</td>
<td>Council of State Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposit January 23, 1968</td>
<td>One-half Mitch Wandell's expenses at annual meeting September 7, 1967 79.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership February 28, 1968</td>
<td>Council of State Governments &quot;Policies Applicable to Parolees Etc.&quot; February 2, 1968 65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on Certificate #2357 March 13, 1968</td>
<td>Postmaster - Stamps, 3-31-68 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multigraphing &amp; Mimeographing 880.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TOTAL ACCOUNTABILITY** $2,042.81

---

[Page 14]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALABAMA</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>NEBRASKA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALASKA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>NEVADA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>NEW HAMPSHIRE</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARKANSAS</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>NEW JERSEY</td>
<td>30.00 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALIFORNIA</td>
<td>35.00 *</td>
<td>NEW MEXICO</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLORADO</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>NEW YORK</td>
<td>30.00 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNECTICUT</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>NORTH CAROLINA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELAWARE</td>
<td>30.00 *</td>
<td>NORTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLORIDA</td>
<td>30.00 *</td>
<td>OHIO</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGIA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>OKLAHOMA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAWAII</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>OREGON</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDAHO</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>PENNSYLVANIA</td>
<td>35.00 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILLINOIS</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>PUERTO RICO</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIANA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>RHODE ISLAND</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOWA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>SOUTH CAROLINA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANSAS</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>SOUTH DAKOTA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENTUCKY</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>TENNESSEE</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOUISIANA</td>
<td>30.00 *</td>
<td>TEXAS</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAINE</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>UTAH</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARYLAND</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>VERMONT</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASSACHUSETTS</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>VIRGIN ISLANDS</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHIGAN</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>VIRGINIA</td>
<td>30.00 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINNESOTA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>WASHINGTON</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSISSIPPI</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>WEST VIRGINIA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSOURI</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>WISCONSIN</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTANA</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>WYOMING</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL ----------------- $1,350.00

* Regular Dues $25.00 + Associate Membership Fees $5.00 each
**THE GENOVESE PRESS, INC.**

**MULTICRAPHING AND MIMEOGRAPHING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>INVOICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7-10-67</td>
<td>#3417</td>
<td>$6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-10-67</td>
<td>#3466</td>
<td>101.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-19-67</td>
<td>#3497</td>
<td>31.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-15-67</td>
<td>#3536</td>
<td>21.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-15-67</td>
<td>#3500</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-28-67</td>
<td>#3633</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-28-67</td>
<td>#3653</td>
<td>28.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-16-67</td>
<td>#3670</td>
<td>145.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-16-67</td>
<td>#3687</td>
<td>101.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14-67</td>
<td>#3813</td>
<td>16.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14-67</td>
<td>#3828</td>
<td>59.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-23-68</td>
<td>#3935</td>
<td>48.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-26-68</td>
<td>#3963</td>
<td>44.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8-68</td>
<td>#4121</td>
<td>248.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-31-68</td>
<td>#4205</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$880.61</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHECKS RECEIVED FOR PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN AT ANNUAL MEETING  

Charges $2.00 picture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPOSITS</th>
<th>CHECKS</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-25-67</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-17-67</td>
<td>11 + cash</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-6-67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-15-67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-23-68</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-28-68</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$102.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Last year you asked us to develop a uniform arrest warrant for use in Compact cases. Copies of the draft of such a warrant are on the table before you and this matter will be discussed during the meeting.

You also asked us to investigate the matter of liability insurance for parole and probation officers. We are sorry to inform you that we have not been able to find any state which has such insurance. Missouri's Department of Corrections has legislative authorization to purchase such insurance but it has not been able to find a company which is willing to provide the insurance.

During the year we sent to all of you copies of "Policies Applicable to Parolees and Probationers at Revocation Hearings and Time Limits for Supervision." Additional copies are available at $1.50 each.

Copies of the brochure on the Compact have been distributed around the table. We still have copies of this brochure available for complimentary distribution. Many Administrators have found it helpful to send the brochure to judges and other appropriate officials in their states, so if you would like a large supply feel free to ask for it.

Two court decisions concerning the Compact have been reported to us since the last annual meeting. In the first, Moody v. State, the Alabama Court of Appeals held that a warrant from a sending state for retaking a Compact supervisee must be certified in accordance with the laws of Alabama. On remand, the Alabama Circuit Court took the same position. It also held that the receiving state could not review the question of whether the sending state could "conduct an ex parte revocation of probation." The court pointed out that under the Compact the decision of the sending state to retake a case is not reviewable within the receiving state. (4 Div. 571, Cert. den. Ala. Supreme Court, 1967)

In the second case, Minnesota ex rel Halverson v. Young, the Minnesota Supreme Court ruled that probation may not be revoked simply because a probationer violated a probation condition that he not return to the state of Minnesota. The court held that it is beyond the power of a court to impose banishment as a condition of probation. The court also held that a probation condition calling for residence outside the state is void without the continued supervision provided for in the Interstate Compact for the Supervision of Parolees and Probationers. (154 N.W. 2d 699 (1967)

If any of you hear of decisions or Attorney General's Opinions about the Compact, please be sure to send them to us.

Pennsylvania adopted the Out-of-State Incarceration Amendment to the Compact this year.

You may be interested to know what is happening to the Interstate Compact on Juveniles and the Agreement on Detainers, both of which you helped to develop.
The Interstate Compact on Juveniles was ratified by Vermont this year, bringing the total number of member states to 46.

The Agreement on Detainers has been ratified by 19 states. Legislation to make the Federal Government a party has passed the House.

The Compact on Mentally Disordered Offenders has been ratified by four states: Illinois, New Mexico, Rhode Island and North Dakota. This is a new Compact which was introduced for the first time last year.

So far we have only received figures from about half the states on the Interstate Movement of Parolees and Probationers for the year ending June 30, 1968. If you have not sent your figures in, please do so as soon as you can so that we can begin to compile the statistics.
RESOLUTIONS

I

WHEREAS, the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association has been one of the co-sponsors of the National Parole Institute Program and has witnessed the impressive benefits derived from this Program; and

WHEREAS, the initial stage of the Uniform Parole Reporting Study has demonstrated its feasibility;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this Association go on record as strongly favoring the continuance, furtherance and expansion of these two Programs.

II

WHEREAS, the annual meeting of the Parole and Probation Compact Administrator Association is the only opportunity each year for the Administrators to join together and resolve problems of mutual interest and importance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Secretariat continue to urge the Governors of the several states to assure representation at the Association's annual meeting.

III

WHEREAS, the Interstate Compact for the Supervision of Parolees and Probation has been ratified by all fifty states, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the Territory of the Virgin Islands; and

WHEREAS, the cooperative supervision of parolees and probationers which the Compact provides has demonstrated its value both to the states and to those being supervised; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia is the only major jurisdiction in the United States not party to the Compact, thus making it impossible to extend the full benefits of the Compact to District cases;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association urges the District of Columbia to seek enactment of legislation permitting it to become a member of the Compact on the same basis as each of the party states; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Association pledges its support for any such legislation which may be introduced in Congress, provided that it does not include any provisions, such as have been made a part of some compact consent bills, claiming for Congress and its committees a continuing and unlimited right of access to books, papers and records of all agencies administering the Compact; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Secretariat is requested to send a copy of this resolution to the Honorable Walter Washington, Mayor of the District of Columbia, and to take such additional action in support and furtherance of the position declared herein as may be appropriate.

IV

WHEREAS, the State of California through its Compact Administrator, Joseph Spangler, has done such a splendid job in making the members of this Association welcome to the great State of California; and

WHEREAS, he has provided valuable assistance to our President;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association expresses its deep appreciation to Joseph Spangler for his many contributions; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution be sent to Mr. Spangler.

V

WHEREAS, the Compact Administrators' Association has experienced a well arranged program for our meeting; and

WHEREAS, throughout the year we have received communications from our President's office;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association expresses to Mr. Russell Bobzin our most sincere and deep appreciation for his services; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Mr. Bobzin.

VI

WHEREAS, Dr. Mitchell Wendell has rendered immeasurable service by initiating and preparing drafts of legislation for improving the Interstate Compact for the Supervision of Parolees and Probationers and likewise has made significant contributions by his counsel at the meeting of this Association;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association expresses to Dr. Wendell its deep appreciation for his services, and further expresses its regret that he is leaving the Secretariat; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution be sent to Dr. Wendell.

VII

WHEREAS, The Council of State Governments, through Mr. William L. Frederick and his Assistant Miss Jane Parks, have been of such value in offering leadership, guidance and service to this Association;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association expresses to The Council of State Governments and its staff our most sincere appreciation for the untiring services rendered.

VIII

WHEREAS, Mr. Saul H. Clark of Idaho is retiring after many years of distinguished service as Compact Administrator for Idaho; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Clark for the past several years has served most ably as Treasurer of the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this Association commends Mr. Clark for his diligent work in Idaho and also expresses its deep appreciation to him for his service as Treasurer and his untiring efforts on behalf of the Compact and the Association; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be sent to Mr. Clark.

IX

WHEREAS, Mr. Robert Hannum of the Osborne Association worked for many years to assist former prisoners as they sought to establish a new life following their release; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Hannum attended the meetings of the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators Association each year and was admired and respected by all who knew him;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this Association expresses its very deep regret and sense of loss at his death; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that copies of this resolution be sent to Mr. Hannum's family and to the Osborne Association.

X

WHEREAS, Mr. George Elder of Missouri and Mr. H. M. Randall of Oregon have retired recently after many years of distinguished service to their states in the field of parole and probation; and

WHEREAS, both Mr. Elder and Mr. Randall were valuable members of the Parole and Probation Compact Administrators' Association for many years and both served most ably as its President;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Association expresses its deep gratitude to Mr. Elder and to Mr. Randall for their effective work on behalf of the Compact and the Association and extends best wishes to them upon their retirement; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that copies of this Resolution be sent to Mr. Elder and Mr. Randall.
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